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Summary: Global climate change is the main threat for the emerging truffle sector, which already extends across seven key regions in the Americas, Europe, South Africa and Australasia. At the same time, the potential effects of financial crises and global pandemics have not yet been considered in most risk assessments. Here we describe the direct and indirect impacts of the actual coronavirus pandemic on the rising truffle sector. We discuss how COVID-19 affects small family businesses and international enterprises, and if the current harvest decline will have ecological long-term benefits. Furthermore, we question extant cultivation practices, irrigation techniques and trade systems to prepare for a more equitable and sustainable future of the global truffle industry. Despite various foci on one of the most expensive gourmet foods and its associated agroforestry, we expect our reflections to be valuable for many other high-value crops, and a green agriculture in general.
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Farming of the Périgord black truffle (Tuber melanosporum Vitt.) has expanded rapidly during the past decades. With ~2.3 million km$^2$ of suitable truffle terrain across seven key regions in the Americas (Chile, California and Oregon), southern Europe (Spain, France and Italy), South Africa (the KwaZulu-Natal and Western Cape regions) and Australasia (southwest and eastern Australia and most of New Zealand), the emerging truffle sector – an important agroforestry practice – generates hundreds of millions of Euros annually (FISCHER et al. 2017; OLLACH et al. 2020). Enhanced cultivation techniques and an ever-growing number of plantations since the mid 2000s not only trigger economic benefits for rural areas (HALL et al. 2003; DONNINI et al. 2013; BÜNTGEN et al. 2017), but also increase landscape diversity and water-holding capacity (REYNA and GARCÍA-BARREDA 2014). Comparable to mycotourism (BÜNTGEN et al. 2017), a thriving truffle sector can also support local and regional infrastructure, biodiversity and environmental awareness.

Similar to ecological and agricultural systems that are particularly prone to drought extremes and heat waves (TRNKA et al. 2020; BÜNTGEN et al. 2021b; OLESEN et al. 2021), anthropogenic climate change has been described as the main threat for the quality and quantity of truffle harvests (BÜNTGEN et al. 2012, 2019; THOMAS and BÜNTGEN 2019; GARCÍA-BARRÉDA et al. 2020), which can exhibit an essential non-wood forest product for sustainable silviculture (DONNINI et al. 2013). However, risk assessments of the truffle sector do not consider the cascading effects of financial or other global crises. Reportedly, the current coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) hits the sector at an unprecedented scale (https://www.reuters.com/article/croatia-truffles-idINL8N21C3D9). While the direct and indirect impacts of the unfolding pandemic on agricultural production and trade have not yet been quantified (BECKMAN and COUNTRYMAN 2021), we argue that the devastating consequences for the truffle sector will affect small family businesses as well as global enterprises, with implications for the wider food industry (RIDLEY and DEVADOSS 2020; GENARIDES et al. 2021).
Like academia (Büntgen et al. 2021a) and the wider mushroom agroindustry (Harvati 2021), including mycotourism (Büntgen et al. 2017), the influence of COVID-19 restrictions on truffle hunters, farmers, harvesters, sellers, and consumers has been inescapable (Fig. 1A). With pandemic-related enforced closures, demand from the most important market for truffles, the high-end restaurant sector, has suddenly declined. First grade fruitbodies of the Périgord black truffle are currently offered in the US for $320USD/lb (January 2021), which is less than half of the regular in-season value. Moreover, truffle festivals, such as the annual Napa Truffle Festival in California (USA) or the Trufforum in Catalonia (Spain) have been cancelled for the 2020/2021/2022 harvest season, and many traditional markets and socio-cultural events are forced to close (Fig. 1B). Associated declines in employment levels may have a direct impact on household income, creating financial frictions and liquidity constraints. These impact property and housing markets directly and can lead to further declines in local employment levels (Branch et al. 2016). Therefore, the socio-economic consequences of declining truffle harvests can be complex and may exist at national and international scales (Fig. 1B).

Low truffle prices, combined with pandemic-related travel and trade disruptions have led some orchards to abandon harvesting for at least the 2020/21 season. Imposed movement restrictions in response to infection levels further impact large numbers of farm labourers, and in Italy alone, this may affect over 100,000 seasonal workers and their truffle-search dogs. Indeed, the reduction in truffle prices and loss of peripheral truffle-related industries is particularly harmful for rural populations where such activities are centred (Büntgen et al. 2017), and the direct loss of cultivators’ income is not the only issue. In California (the largest truffle cultivation region in the Americas), for example, COVID-19 is likely to impact lower-wage agricultural workers disproportionately, and so staff shortages have been reported as being directly related to high rates of infections. Despite reduced labour availability, the maintenance of plantations has been largely continued under reduced capacity, although in the future the overall damage will likely depend on de-synchronisation of virus outbreaks and harvesting activities.

In contrast to the immediate economic constraints, there are potentially two long-term advantages of the current harvest decline (Fig. 1C). Firstly, the hypogeous nature of truffles leads to a dependence on mycophagy for spore dispersal. Consequently, there are many vertebrate and invertebrate species that predate them and in doing so, truffles may form an important component of animal food webs (Trappe, 2009). Although ecological networks are complex and multifactorial, the increase in unharvested fruiting bodies, especially in natural habitats, is likely to benefit populations of truffle specialists such as some flies of the genus Suillia (e.g., S. tuberiperda) and associated food chains (Rosa-Gruszeczka et al. 2017). Secondly,
a reduction in truffle harvesting may enhance future fruitbody production, because an increase in the truffle spore-bank of the soil from decomposing fruitbodies or faecal matter arising from truffle predation is beneficial to mycelial development (Schneider-Maunoury et al. 2019). Further, the most widely harvested Périgord black truffle is dependent on sexual reproduction for fruitbody formation, and it has been suggested that paternal contributions arise from the soil spore bank (Taschen et al. 2016; Fischer et al. 2017). Thus, reduced harvesting pressure may in turn pay dividends by contributing to enhanced truffle yields in the future.

A purely market-oriented truffle industry, considered among many to be luxurious but dispensable, will always remain vulnerable to market changes and financial crises. The high-value nature of truffle production, combined with significant inter-orchard variation, has created a climate of envy and frustration among farmers and investors that threatens the ethical and professional standards of both green-agriculture and eco-tourism. If the marketing and promotion of truffles and their secondary products absorbs more time and receives more rewards than the effort to engage in sustainable hunting and farming, there will be little incentive to explore new pathways of ecological farming and fair trading. COVID-19 forces the vibrant sector to reflect on its goals and the ways to achieve them. The current restrictions present fresh opportunities to question extant cultivation practices, irrigation techniques and trade systems, and to prepare for a more equitable and sustainable future.

Funding

This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, grant number CZ.02 .101/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000797 (SustES – Adaptation strategies for sustainable ecosystem services and food security under adverse environmental conditions), and Masarykova univerzita, grant number MUNI/A/1570/2020 (Geografický výzkum dynamiky přírodních a společenských prostorových procesů GEODYN).

References


Authors

Prof. Dr. Ulf Büntgen
Department of Geography
University of Cambridge
Cambridge CB2 3EN
UK
ulf.buentgen@geog.cam.ac.uk

Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL
8903 Birmensdorf
Switzerland

Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences
603 00 Brno
Czech Republic

Tomáš Čejka
Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences
603 00 Brno
Czech Republic

Department of Geography
Faculty of Science
Masaryk University
613 00 Brno
Czech Republic

Prof. Dr. Mirek Trnka
Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences
603 00 Brno
Czech Republic

Prof. Dr. Paul W. Thomas
Faculty of Natural Sciences
University of Stirling
FK9 4LA
Stirling, UK